# Impact Analysis Report / RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0211** (JIRA:UCCNCTS-2259) |
| **Related Incident ID** | **IM492925 & IM494785 / PM23918 / KE21995** |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | **NA-AT & NA-LU** |
| **CI** | **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.15.0****-v1.00 – CSE-51.8.0)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard  Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes  No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00 - CSE-51.8.0): Adding G0190 to clarify in CD150C and CD151C the usage of ‘*CUSTOMS OFFICE OF RECOVERY REQUESTING*’ and ‘*CUSTOMS OFFICE OF RECOVERY REQUESTED*’.** |
| ~~G0017 improved to clarify when the data item 'State of seals' in CD018C (and CC044C) includes the value ‘0’ or ‘1’.~~  **Alignment to the DDNTA-6.2.0-v1.00 (NCTS-P6).**  **Some National Project Teams needed confirmation that <CUSTOMS OFFICE OF RECOVERY REQUESTING> is always the sender of the message IE150 (i.e. the Competent Authority of Enquiry at Departure that considers that it is not responsible for the Recovery, or the Competent Authority of Enquiry in another country requesting the competency for the Recovery). A new guideline is defined to avoid more questions from other National Project Teams.** |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| The **DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00** (NCTS-P5) should be upgraded to take into account the clarification applied in DDNTA-6.2.0-v1.00 (NCTS-P6) already approved by ECCG.  It should be clarified that the IE150 is always sent by the Competent Authority of Recovery. The Competent Authority of Enquiry at Destination can request recovery from the Competent Authority of Recovery at Departure through the IE143 with Response code '4'. The CUSTOMS OFFICE OF RECOVERY REQUESTING = the sender of IE150. These DGs (Requesting/Requested) in recovery messages (IE150/IE151) are defined as such since the inception of the recovery procedure in NCTS P4. No change, just a clarification.  The **G0190** existing in DDNTA-6.2.0-v1.00 should be re-used when upgrading the DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The **DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00 (incl. Appendix Q2) and the CSE-v51.8.0** shall be corrected as follows (addition of **text highlighted in yellow** – removal of ~~text with strikethrough~~ ):  **G0190** will be added in:  **CD150C - (C\_REC\_REQ) - RECOVERY REQUEST**  and  **CD151C - (C\_REC\_ACC) - RECOVERY ACCEPTANCE NOTIFICATION**  ---TRANSIT OPERATION 1x R  ---CUSTOMS OFFICE OF RECOVERY REQUESTING 1x R **G0190**  ---CUSTOMS OFFICE OF RECOVERY REQUESTED 1x R **G0190**  ---RECOVERY 1x R  (…)  With the following wording:  **Technical Description:**  N/A  **Functional Description:**  The 'CUSTOMS OFFICE OF RECOVERY REQUESTING' is the Competent Authority of Recovery  that requests another Competent Authority to perform the recovery.  The 'CUSTOMS OFFICE OF RECOVERY REQUESTED' is the Competent Authority of Recovery that  is requested to perform the recovery.  **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**  This RFC-Proposal adds a Guideline (it is reminded that a guideline cannot be used to justify a rejection of a message), as per DDNTA-6.2.0-v1.00.  It describes a **purely documentary**improvement: we do not identify any impact on the NTA.  No impact on business continuity. There is no need for a planned deployment.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (**T-Ops**):   No impact on Operations – Documentary fix.  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (**T-CT**):                     No impact on CT – Documentary fix.  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (**T-CAB**):                  Already approved by ECCG for DDNTA-6.2.0.    **Impacted Messages:**   * CD0150C and CD151C   **Impacted Rules, Conditions & BRTs etc.:**   * G0190   **Impacted CI Artefacts:**   * DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00 (Main Document): No. * **DDNTA-5.15.0-v1.00 (Appendix ‘Q2\_R\_C’, ‘Q2’): Yes.** * **CSE-v51.8.0: Yes.** * Functional Specifications NCTS-P5 (FSS/BPM): 5.30.2: No. * DDCOM-20.4.0-v1.00: No. * **DMP Package-5.7.0-v1.00 (CD3-NCTS-P5 and AES\_DMP-5.7.0-v1.00-SfA\_(Rules and Conditions\_v0.45)\_SfA.xlsx): Yes.** * CTS-5.7.2-v1.00: No. * ACS Main Document: v5.8.0-v1.00 & ACS Annex for NCTS: 5.8.0-v1.00: No. * CTP-5.10.0-v1.00: No. * TRP-5.12.0:  No. * CRP-5.7.4-v1.00: No. * ieCA 1.0.4.1: No. * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.50-v1.00: No. * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No. * CS/RD2\_DATA: No. * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No.   **NCTS-P6:**   * DDNTA-6.2.0-v1.00 (Appendix ‘Q2\_R\_C’, ‘Q2’): No.  (Already implemented) * Functional Specifications NCTS-P6 (FSS/BPM): No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CSE-v51.8.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | G0190 added as existing in DDNTA-6.2.0-v1.00**.** | |
| **DDNTA-v5.15.0-v1.00 (Appendices)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Appendix Q2 generated by CSE + Appendix Q2\_R\_C** shall be updated with the new G0190. | |
| **DMP Package-v5.7.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Rules and Conditions\_v0.45 file to be updated** with the newG0190. | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | No impact expected.  **To be checked by each National Project Team.** | |

| **Document History** | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by SOFTDEV | 06/12/2022 | *Draft by SOFTDEV* |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 10/01/2023 | ***Already applied in NCTS-P6 (DDNTA-6.2.0-v1.00) & approved by ECCG.*** |
| v1.10 | SfA2 to NPMs | 18/01/2023 | *Implementing comment received from NA-DE.*  *G0017 has nothing to do in this RFC-Proposal.*  *On page 1 extra correction made highly visible ~~text removed~~ – text added, compared to v1.00.* |
| ***Considered as accepted following absence of any other comments from NPMs by 21.01.2023.*** | | | |